

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|--------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|--|
| 09/423,093 | 11/01/1999 | PETER RICHARD REEVES | 23541-01 6333 | | |
| 23373 | 7590 03/11/2003 | | | | |
| SUGHRUE MION, PLLC | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | YLVANIA AVENUE, N.W DN, DC 20037 | • | SISSON, BRADLEY L | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1634 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 03/11/2003 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| #1 · · · | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/423,093 | REEVES ET AL. | REEVES ET AL | | |
| , , , | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Bradley L. Sisson | 1634 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet with | the correspondence add | dress | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 23 December 2002 FAILS TO PLATHERED, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Applexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | avoid abandonment of this (1) a timely filed amendmer | application. A proper rent which places the application. | ply to a ication in | | |
| PERIOD FOR R | EPLY [check either a) or b)] |] | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set for han SIX MONTHS from the mailing | date of the final rejection. | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dnave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exte 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | nsion and the corresponding amoun ed statutory period for reply originally | t of the fee. The appropriate ex set in the final Office action; or | dension fee under r (2) as set forth in | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>23 January 2003</u> . 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 Cl | | | t forth in | | |
| P.☑ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: | | | | | |
| (a) X they raise new issues that would require furth | her consideration and/or sea | arch (see NOTE below); | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note | below); | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or | in better form for appeal by | y materially reducing or | simplifying the | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without cance | eling a corresponding numb | er of finally rejected clai | ms. | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. | | | | | |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje | ction(s): | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | d be allowable if submitted | in a separate, timely file | ed amendment | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _ | or reconsideration has been | considered but does N | OT place the | | |
| The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ecause it is not directed SOI | LELY to issues which we | ere newly | | |
| 7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows | ; | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>85-106</u> . | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is | s a) □ approved or b) □ d | lisapproved by the Exar | miner. | | |
| 9.☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme | , | . , | | | |

Bradley L. Sisson Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1634

US 097212420GP1



Creation date: 11-03-2003

Indexing Officer: RCHILDS - ROBERT CHILDS

Team: GAU1634PrtWorkingFolder

Dossier: 09721242

Legal Date: 11-03-2003

| No. | Doccode | | Number of pages |
|-----|---------|--|-----------------|
| 1 | ABN | | 2 |

Total number of pages: 2

Remarks:

Order of re-scan issued on